1	Michael B. Jackson Bar number 053808	
2	75 Court St.	
3	Box 207 Quincy, California	
4	95971	
5		
6		
7		
8		
9	Attorney for California Water Impact Network AquAlliance, and California Sportfishing Protection	η
10	Alliance, Interested Parties.	
11	SUPERIOR COURT OF TH	IE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
12	COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO	
13		
14	CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES,	CASE NO.: 34-2017-00215965
15	Plaintiff,	ANSWER AND RESPONSE OF CALIFORNIA WATER IMPACT
16		NETWORK, AQUALLIANCE, CALIFORNIA SPORTFISHING
17	V.	PROTECTION ALLIANCE, and CALIFORNIA INDIAN WATER
18	ALL PERSONS INTERESTED IN THE MATTER of the Authorization of California	COMMISSION TO COMPLAINT FOR VALIDATION
19	WaterFix Revenue Bonds, the Issuance, Sale and Delivery of California WaterFix Revenue	(C.1. C. 1. C'. D
20	Bonds Series A, Series B and Subsequent Series, the Adoption of the California	(Cal. Code Civ. Proc. §§ 860-870.5)
21	WaterFix Revenue Bond General Bond Resolution and the Supplemental Resolutions	
22	Providing the Issuance of California WaterFix Revenue Bonds, and the Proceedings Related	
23	Thereto,	
24	Defendants;	
25		
26		
27		
28		

ANSWER AND RESPONSE TO COMPLAINT FOR VALIDATION

To protect their interests and preserve their claims and rights of action, interested parties California Water Impact Network, AquAlliance, California Sportfishing Protection Alliance, and California Indian Water Commission (collectively, "CWIN et. al.") answer and respond to the Complaint for Validation ("Complaint") of Plaintiff California Department of Water Resources ("DWR") as follows:

INTRODUCTION

ANSWER TO COMPLAINT FOR VALIDATION

Nature of the Action

- 1. Answering Paragraph 1 of the Complaint, CWIN et. al. admit that the Complaint purports to commence a validation action under Code Civ. Proc. § 860 et seq. and Gov. Code § 17700 and that DWR seeks a judgment confirming the validity of: (1) bonds DWR has allegedly authorized to finance the capital costs of the California WaterFix; (2) the resolutions DWR allegedly adopted in connection with those bonds; and (3) the pledge of revenues for their repayment. Other than as expressly admitted, CWIN et. al. deny each and every allegation of Paragraph 1.
- 2. Answering Paragraph 2 of the Complaint, CWIN et. al. admit that the construction, operation and maintenance of California WaterFix is subject to statutory and regulatory requirements, that DWR does not allege in its Complaint that it has satisfied all the statutory and regulatory requirements to construct the California WaterFix, and that DWR alleges that the Central Valley Project Act authorizes DWR to issue revenue bonds to finance the capital costs of the California WaterFix and the pledge California WaterFix Revenues to secure the payment of debt service on those bonds in the manner provided by the resolutions. CWIN et. al. deny that the Central Valley Project Act authorizes DWR to issue revenue bonds to finance the capital costs of the California WaterFix and the pledge California WaterFix Revenues to secure the payment of debt service on those bonds in the manner provided by the resolutions, or in any manner whatsoever. CWIN et. al. further deny that the statutory and regulatory requirements for construction, operation and maintenance of the California WaterFix are not at issue in this action. Except as expressly so admitted and denied, CWIN et. al. lack sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 2 and, on that basis, deny each and every remaining allegation of Paragraph 2.

The Parties

- 3. Answering Paragraph 3 of the Complaint, CWIN et. al. admit that DWR is a department of the Natural Resources Agency of the State of California. Except as expressly so admitted, CWIN et. al. lack sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 3 and, on that basis, deny each and every remaining allegation of Paragraph 3.
- 4. Answering Paragraph 4 of the Complaint, CWIN et. al. admit the allegations therein.
- 5. Answering Paragraph 5 of the Complaint, CWIN et. al. lack sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 5 and, on that basis, deny each and every remaining allegation of Paragraph 5.

Jurisdiction and Venue

- 6. Answering Paragraph 6 of the Complaint, Paragraph 6 sets forth legal conclusions and/or statements of law as to which no response is required. To the extent Paragraph 6 may be deemed to contain statements of fact, CWIN et. al. deny all such allegations.
- 7. Answering Paragraph 7 of the Complaint, CWIN et. al. admit the allegations therein.

The Project

- 8. Answering Paragraph 8 of the Complaint, CWIN et. al. admit that DWR operates and manages facilities which store, transport and deliver water to urban and agricultural water agencies throughout the State and that DWR has approved, planned and constructed s system of water storage and transportation and power general facilities that for purposes of the Complaint DWR refers to as "the 'Project." Except as expressly so admitted, CWIN et. al. lack sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 8 and, on that basis, deny each and every remaining allegation of Paragraph 8.
- 9. Answering Paragraph 9 of the Complaint, CWIN et. al. admit that DWR is authorized to carry out duties and functions in relation to the Project by the Central Valley Project Act (Cal. Water Code §§ 11100 et seq.) (the "CVP Act") and the Burns-Porter Act (Cal. Water Code §§ 12930 et seq.), and that DWR is authorized to construct units of the "project" as defined by the CVP Act and to issue revenue bonds to pay the capital costs of those units. Except as expressly so admitted, CWIN et. al. lack sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the

allegations of Paragraph 9 and, on that basis, deny each and every remaining allegation of Paragraph 9.

- 10. Answering Paragraph 10 of the Complaint, CWIN et. al. admit the allegations therein.
- 11. Answering Paragraph 11 of the Complaint, CWIN et. al. admit the allegations therein.
- 12. Answering Paragraph 12 of the Complaint, CWIN et. al. admit the allegations therein.

The California WaterFix

- 13. Answering Paragraph 13 of the Complaint, CWIN et. al. admit that DWR has in recent years undertaken plans for delivery of Project water across the Delta. Except as expressly so admitted, CWIN et. al. lack sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 13 and, on that basis, deny each and every remaining allegation of Paragraph 13.
- 14. Answering Paragraph 14 of the Complaint, CWIN et. al. admit that DWR purports to have approved the financing of the planning and design and, if and when appropriate, the acquisition and construction of the conveyance facilities referred to as the California WaterFix. CWIN et. al. admit that the California WaterFix would, among other things, transport water from new intake points on the Sacramento River across the Delta by two underground tunnels running below the natural waterways of the Delta to export facilities at the southern edge of the Delta. CWIN et. al. deny that the California WaterFix would reduce the necessity of transporting Project water through the Delta's natural waterways. Except as expressly so admitted and denied, CWIN et. al. lack sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 14 and, on that basis, deny each and every remaining allegation of Paragraph 14.
- 15. Answering Paragraph 15 of the Complaint, CWIN et. al. admit that DWR has adopted resolutions which purport to authorize the issuance of revenue bonds, the proceeds of which DWR claims would be used to pay the capital costs of the California WaterFix. CWIN et. al. further admit that DWR's authority to finance the California WaterFix as one or more units of the Project, separate and apart from other units of the Project, and in accordance with the terms of the Resolution and Supplemental Resolutions, is a subject of this validation action. CWIN et. al deny, however, that it is the sole subject of this validation action. Except as

expressly so admitted and denied, CWIN et. al. lack sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 15 and, on that basis, deny each and every remaining allegation of Paragraph 15.

Statutory Authority for the California WaterFix

- Act DWR is authorized to construct and operate the Project and to issue revenue bonds to pay for it. CWIN et. al. admit that DWR has discretion and authority to determine the need for the Project and the nature of Project facilities, but denies that DWR's discretion and authority in such matters is unlimited or uncircumscribed by California statutory and common law, contractual agreements, and federal law. Except as expressly so admitted and denied, CWIN et. al. lack sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 16 and, on that basis, deny each and every remaining allegation of Paragraph 16.
- 17. Answering Paragraph 17 of the Complaint, Paragraph 17 sets forth a quotation from, and description of, a statutory provision and, as such, requires no response.
- 18. Answering Paragraph 18 of the Complaint, CWIN et. al. deny that section 11260 of the CVP Act authorizes DWR to include the California WaterFix as one or more of the units "provided for in this chapter." To the extent that Paragraph 18 merely sets forth a quotation from a statute, it requires no response.
- 19. Answering Paragraph 19 of the Complaint, Paragraph 19 merely sets forth a quotation from a statute and, to that extent, requires no response. To the extent Paragraph 19 may be deemed to contain statements of fact, CWIN et. al. deny all such allegations.
- 20. Answering Paragraph 20 of the Complaint, including the two footnotes to that paragraph, Paragraph 20 sets forth quotations from a California statute and decisions of California courts and, to that extent, requires no response. To the extent Paragraph 20 may be deemed to contain statements of fact and characterizations of applicable law, CWIN et. al. deny all such allegations.
- 21. Answering Paragraph 21 of the Complaint, Paragraph 21 sets forth a quotation from a California statute and, to that extent, requires no response. To the extent Paragraph 21 may be deemed to contain statements of fact and characterizations of law, CWIN et al. deny all such allegations.

27

- 22. Answering Paragraph 22 of the Complaint, CWIN et. al. admit that pursuant to the CVP Act DWR has powers with respect to Project facilities. CWIN et. al. specifically deny that, pursuant to the CVP Act or any other lawful enactment, DWR has the power to authorize the planning, design, acquisition, construction, maintenance and operation of the California WaterFix.
- 23. Answering Paragraph 23 of the Complaint, including the footnote thereto, CWIN et. al. admit that the CVP Act authorizes DWR to issue revenue bonds to pay the capital costs of Project facilities. CWIN et. al. deny that the CVP Act authorizes DWR to issue revenue bonds to pay the capital costs of the California WaterFix. CWIN et. al. deny that the statutory and regulatory requirements governing and/or affecting implementation of construction and operation of California WaterFix are not at issue in this validation action. CWIN et. al. admit that DWR does not allege in the Complaint that it has satisfied all the statutory and regulatory requirements to begin construction of the California WaterFix. Except as expressly so admitted and denied, CWIN et. al lack sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 23 and, on that basis, deny each and every remaining allegation of Paragraph 23.
- 24. Answering Paragraph 24 of the Complaint, Paragraph 24 sets forth quotations from California statutes and, to that extent, requires no response. To the extent Paragraph 24 may be deemed to contain statements of fact and characterizations of law, CWIN et. al. deny all such allegations.
- 25. Answering Paragraph 25 of the Complaint, Paragraph 25 sets forth a quotation from a California statute and, as such, requires no response.

The California WaterFix Financing

A. The California WaterFix General Bond Resolution and California WaterFix **Revenue Bonds**

- 26. Answering Paragraph 26 of the Complaint, CWIN et. al admit the allegations therein.
- 27. Answering Paragraph 27 of the Complaint, including the footnote thereto, CWIN et.al. admit the allegations therein.
- 28. Answering Paragraph 28 of the Complaint, CWIN et.al. admit that pursuant to the Resolution DWR purported to authorize the issuance of California WaterFix Revenue Bonds. Paragraph 28 sets forth a quotation from the Resolution and, to that extent, requires no response.

To the extent Paragraph 28 may be deemed to contain statements of fact, CWIN et. al. deny all such allegations.

- 29. Answering Paragraph 29 of the Complaint, CWIN et. al. admit that the Resolution recites that DWR determined "that the public interest and necessity require the carrying out of the objects and purposes of the [CVP] Act by the acquisition and construction" of the California WaterFix. Except as expressly so admitted and denied, CWIN et. al. lack sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 29 and, on that basis, deny each and every remaining allegation of Paragraph 29.
- 30. Answering Paragraph 30 of the Complaint, Paragraph 30 sets forth verbatim sections of text from the Resolution and, to that extent, requires no response. CWIN et. al. deny, on information and belief, that the findings and determinations in the Resolution were made pursuant to and in accordance with Section 11701 of the CVP Act and otherwise in accordance with the CVP Act.
- 31. Answering Paragraph 31 of the Complaint, Paragraph 31 sets forth a quotation from the Resolution and, as such, requires no response.
- 32. Answering Paragraph 32 of the Complaint, Paragraph 32 sets forth a quotation from the Resolution and, as such, requires no response. To the extent Paragraph 32 may be deemed to contain statements of fact, CWIN et. al. deny all such allegations.
- 33. Answering Paragraph 33 of the Complaint, Paragraph 33 sets forth a quotation from the Resolution and, as such, requires no response. To the extent Paragraph 33 may be deemed to contain statements of fact, CWIN et. al. deny all such allegations.
- 34. Answering Paragraph 34 of the Complaint, Paragraph 34 sets forth a quotation from the Resolution and, as such, requires no response. CWIN et. al. lack sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 34 and, on that basis, deny each and every remaining allegation of Paragraph 34.
- 35. Answering Paragraph 35 of the Complaint, CWIN et. al admit that the Resolution purports to authorize issuance of Bond Anticipation Bonds and Refunding Bonds. Except as expressly so admitted and denied, CWIN et. al. lack sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 35 and, on that basis, deny each and every remaining allegation of Paragraph 35.

36. Answering Paragraph 36 of the Complaint, Paragraph 36 sets forth a quotation from the Resolution and, as such, requires no response.

The First and Second Supplemental Resolutions

- 37. Answering Paragraph 37 of the Complaint, CWIN et. al. admit the allegations therein.
- 38. Answering Paragraph 38 of the Complaint, to the extent Paragraph 38 consists of quotations from the First Supplemental Resolution it requires no response. CWIN et. al. lack sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 38 and, on that basis, deny each and every remaining allegation of Paragraph 38.
- 39. Answering Paragraph 39 of the Complaint, Paragraph 39 sets forth a quotation from the Resolution and, as such, requires no response.
- 40. Answering Paragraph 40 of the Complaint, to the extent Paragraph 40 consists of quotations from the Second Supplemental Resolution it requires no response. CWIN et. al. lack sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 40 and, on that basis, deny each and every remaining allegation of Paragraph 40.

Statutory Authority to Bring this Validation Action

- 41. Answering Paragraph 41 of the Complaint, CWIN et. al. allege that Paragraph 41 sets forth legal conclusions and/or statements of law as to which no response is required. To the extent Paragraph 41 may be deemed to contain statements of fact, CWIN et. al. deny all such allegations.
- 42. Answering Paragraph 42 of the Complaint, Paragraph 42 sets forth legal conclusions and/or statements of law as to which no response is required. To the extent Paragraph 42 may be deemed to contain statements of fact, CWIN et. al. deny all such allegations.
- 43. Answering Paragraph 43 of the Complaint, Paragraph 43 sets forth legal conclusions and/or statements of law as to which no response is required.
- 44. Answering Paragraph 44 of the Complaint, Paragraph 44 sets forth legal conclusions and/or statements of law as to which no response is required. To the extent Paragraph 44 may be deemed to contain statements of fact, CWIN et. al. deny all such allegations.

Service By Publication of Summons

45. Answering Paragraph 45 of the Complaint, Paragraph 45 sets forth legal conclusions and/or statements of law as to which no response is required.

- 46. Answering Paragraph 46 of the Complaint, CWIN et. al. lack sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 46 and, on that basis, deny each and every allegation of Paragraph 46.
- 47. Answering Paragraph 47 of the Complaint, CWIN et. al. lack sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 47 and, on that basis, deny each and every allegation of Paragraph 47.
- 48. Answering Paragraph 48 of the Complaint, CWIN et. al. lack sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 48 and, on that basis, deny each and every allegation of Paragraph 48.

First Cause of Action

(Determination of Validity)

- 49. Answering Paragraph 49 of the Complaint, CWIN et. al. incorporate by reference as though fully set forth herein their responses in paragraphs 1 through 48, inclusive, above.
- 50. Answering Paragraph 50 of the Complaint, CWIN et al. deny the allegations therein and deny, further, the DWR is entitled to any declaratory relief whatsoever.

Prayer for Relief

- 51. Answering Paragraph 51 of the Complaint, CWIN et. al admit that DWR prays for the relief described in Paragraph 51. Except as expressly so admitted, CWIN et. al. lack sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 51 and, on that basis, deny each and every allegation of Paragraph 51.
- 52. Answering Paragraph 52 of the Complaint, CWIN et. al. admit that DWR prays for the relief described in Paragraph 52. Except as expressly so admitted, CWIN et. al. lack sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 52 and, on that basis, deny each and every allegation of Paragraph 52.
- 53. Answering Paragraph 53 of the Complaint, CWIN et. al. admit that DWR prays for the relief described in Paragraph 53. Except as expressly so admitted CWIN et. al. lack sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 53 and, on that basis, deny each and every allegation of Paragraph 53.

- 54. Answering Paragraph 54 of the Complaint, CWIN et. al. admit that DWR prays for the relief described in Paragraph 54. Except as expressly so admitted, CWIN et. al. deny the allegations of Paragraph 54.
- 55. Answering Paragraph 55 of the Complaint, CWIN et. al. admit that DWR prays for the relief described in Paragraph 55. Except as expressly so admitted, CWIN et. al. deny that DWR is entitled to the relief sought in Paragraph 55 or to any injunctive or other form of relief whatsoever.
- 56. Answering Paragraph 56 of the Complaint, CWIN et. al. admit that DWR prays for the relief described in Paragraph 56. Except as expressly so admitted, CWIN et. al. deny that DWR is entitled to the relief sought in Paragraph 56 or to any other form of relief whatsoever.
- 57. Answering Paragraph 57 of the Complaint, CWIN et. al. admit that DWR prays for the relief described in Paragraph 57. Except as expressly so admitted, CWIN et. al. deny that DWR is entitled to the relief sought in Paragraph 57 or to any injunctive or other form of relief whatsoever.

ADDITIONAL DEFENSES

FIRST DEFENSE

(Failure to State a Claim)

The Complaint fails to state facts sufficient to state any cause of action against CWIN et. al.

SECOND DEFENSE

(Prematurity/Ripeness)

There is no ripe case or controversy because conditions of the bond offering have not yet occurred. Given the breadth and scope of the Complaint, the validation action is premature.

THIRD DEFENSE

(Uncertainty)

The Complaint is uncertain, ambiguous, and vague in defining the nature of the actions sought to be validated and the scope of the relief requested.

ANSWER AND RESPONSE TO COMPLAINT FOR VALIDATION

1	TENTH DEFENSE		
2	(Unclean Hands)		
3	Plaintiff is barred from obtaining the relief requested in the Complaint by the doctrine of		
4	unclean hand		
5	ELEVENTH DEFENSE		
6	(Underlying Project Violates the Delta Reform Act)		
7	The Delta Reform Act requires the establishment of a streamflow schedule by the State		
8	Water Resource Control Board prior to approval of the WaterFix project. The project has not		
9	received that approval.		
	TWELVETH DEFENSE		
10	(Reservation of Defenses)		
11	CWIN et. al. reserve all other defenses that may potentially become available as a result		
12	of information developed during the case.		
13			
14	CWIN et. al. PRAYER FOR RELIEF		
15	WHEREFORE, CWIN et. al. respectfully request the Court enter judgment as follows:		
16 17	A. That DWR take nothing by its Complaint.		
18	B. That CWIN et. al. be awarded reasonable attorneys' fees		
19	pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5 and/or to the extent otherwise allowed by		
20	any provision of California statutory law or any common law doctrine recognized in California.		
21	C. For costs of suit herein.		
22 23	D. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.		
24			
25	Respectfully submitted,		
26	Dated: September 13, 2017		
27			
28	By: Michael B. Jackson		
	1		

ANSWER AND RESPONSE TO COMPLAINT FOR VALIDATION