





























June 23, 2008

The Honorable Lois Wolk, Chair Assembly Committee on Water, Parks and Wildlife 1020 N Street, Suite 160 Sacramento, CA 95814

RE: SB 994 (Florez, Ashburn, and Steinberg) – Delta Smelt -- OPPOSE

Dear Chairwoman Wolk and Members of the Committee:

On behalf of the undersigned organizations, we are writing to oppose Senate Bill 994 (Florez, Ashburn, and Steinberg). While we share the authors' concerns about the precipitous decline of the Delta Smelt, we do not believe the provisions of SB 994 are an effective response to the ecosystem crisis in the Delta. This bill <u>interferes with state endangered species laws</u> by prejudging the ability of a hatchery program to meet the standards necessary for issuance of a take authorization and <u>interferes with ongoing Delta planning processes</u> by forcing them to include a hatchery program with take authorization as part of any final plan. Additionally, the bill <u>inappropriately designates state funds (amount not yet known) to establish the hatchery program</u>, given the expected use of the facilities to provide mitigation credits.

The "hatchery as mitigation bank" program espoused by this bill, which requires that the

Department of Fish and Game (DFG) give <u>full take authorization</u> for Delta Smelt to all banking partners, and which provides no long-term funding without banking partners, is in conflict with existing state endangered species laws and puts both DFG and the Delta Smelt in a no-win situation. While we support the use of a fish culture program to maintain a refuge population of Delta Smelt, the apparent intention to develop the capacity to rear large numbers of Delta Smelt for release into an ailing Delta is not, to our knowledge, widely supported as a sound approach to species restoration at this time.

The new provision that requires that all ongoing Delta planning processes to include this program in their plan limits the flexibility of those processes and diverts limited resources from other conservation measures that may benefit species in addition to Delta Smelt.

The current funding structure of the bill is inappropriate for a program intended (under the bill's provisions) to mitigate for impacts by water diverters and others under endangered species laws. Although the funding provisions are not yet fully detailed, the bill does clearly state that "funding necessary for the long-term operation and maintenance of a Delta smelt hatchery and the program shall be from revenues received from banking partners." Our interpretation of that language is that the initial capital costs of design and construction will be borne by the state.

We believe that SB 944 provides little benefit to the threatened delta smelt and hinders efforts to comprehensively address the root problems in the Delta. We respectfully request that you vote "NO" on SB 994 when it comes before your committee on June 24th.

Sincerely,

Mindy McIntyre, Water Program Manager Planning and Conservation League

Kim Delfino, California Program Director Defenders of Wildlife

Jeff Shellito
California Trout

Paul Mason Sierra Club California

Steve Evans, Conservation Director Friends of the River

David Nesmith, Facilitator Environmental Water Caucus

Gary Mulcahy

Trent W. Orr, Staff Attorney

Winnemem Wintu Tribe

Byron Leydecker, Chair Friends of Trinity River

John Beuttler California Sportfishing Protection Alliance

Suzanne Michel Southern California Watershed Alliance

C. Mark Rochwell

Mark Rockwell Northern California Council, Federation of Fly Fishers

Dan Bacher

cc: Senators Florez, Ashburn and Steinberg

Earthjustice

Barbara Vlamis, Executive Director Butte Environmental Council

Conner Everts Desal Response Group

Zeke Grader, Executive Director Pacific Coast Federation of Fishermen's Associations

Gary Adams, President

California Striped Bass Association