


1 Michael R. Lozeau (Bar No. 142893)  
Richard T. Drury (Bar No. 163559)  
2 Douglas J. Chermak (Bar No. 233382)  
LOZEAU DRURY LLP  
3 410 12th Street, Suite 250  
Oakland, California 94607  
4 Tel: (510) 836-4200  
Fax: (510) 836-4205  
5 E-mail: michael@lozeaudrury.com



6 Attorneys for Petitioners and Plaintiffs  
California Sportfishing Protection Alliance  
7 and California Water Impact Network

8 SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO

9 SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY RESOURCE  
10 CONSERVATION DISTRICT, et al.,

11 Petitioners,

12 vs.

13 CALIFORNIA REGIONAL WATER QUALITY  
CONTROL BOARD, CENTRAL VALLEY  
14 REGION, et al.,

15 Respondents.

16 CALIFORNIA RICE COMMISSION, et al.,

17 Real Parties in Interest.

18 CALIFORNIA SPORTFISHING PROTECTION  
19 ALLIANCE, et al.,

20 Petitioners and Plaintiffs,

21 vs.

22 CALIFORNIA REGIONAL WATER QUALITY  
CONTROL BOARD, CENTRAL VALLEY  
23 REGION, et al.,

24 Respondents and Defendants.

25 CALIFORNIA RICE COMMISSION, et al.,

26 Real Parties in Interests.  
27  
28

Case No. 34-2012-80001186-CU-WM-GDS  
(Consolidated Case No. RG12632180)

~~PROPOSED~~ JUDGMENT GRANTING  
PEREMPTORY WRIT OF MANDATE

Action Filed: June 22, 2012  
Hearing Date: March 29, 2013  
Time: 10:00 a.m.

Assigned for all purposes to the  
Honorable Timothy M. Frawley, Dept. 29

1           These consolidated cases came regularly before this court on March 29, 2013, for hearing in  
2 Department 29 of the Superior Court, the Honorable Timothy M. Frawley presiding. Michael R.  
3 Lozeau appeared as attorney for petitioners California Sportfishing Protection Alliance and California  
4 Water Utility Network in Consolidated Case No. RG12632180. In Consolidated Case No. 34-2012-  
5 80001186-CU-WM-GDS, Jennifer L. Spaletta appeared as attorney for petitioners San Joaquin County  
6 and Delta Water Quality Coalition and Delta Water Quality Coalition, Kari E. Fisher appeared as  
7 attorney for petitioner California Farm Bureau Federation, and William J. Thomas appeared as  
8 attorney for petitioners Southern San Joaquin Valley Water Quality Coalition, Arvin-Edison Water  
9 Storage District, Wheeler Ridge-Maricopa Water Storage District, and Semitropic Water Storage  
10 District. Anita E. Ruud appeared as attorney for respondent California Regional Water Quality  
11 Control Board, Central Valley Region. Theresa A. Dunham appeared as attorney for real parties in  
12 interest California Rice Commission, Westside San Joaquin River Watershed Coalition and  
13 Sacramento Valley Water Quality Coalition.

14           The administrative record having been prepared by the petitioners, certified by respondent, and  
15 submitted by the parties and received into evidence and examined by the court, arguments having been  
16 presented, and the court having made a statement of decision, which has been signed and filed,

17 IT IS ORDERED that:

18           1.       A peremptory writ of mandamus shall issue from the court commanding respondent,  
19 consistent with this Court's Final Ruling, to take all actions necessary to bring the irrigated lands  
20 regulatory program into compliance with the "Statement of Policy With Respect to Maintaining High  
21 Quality of Waters in California," Resolution No. 68-16 and the "Policy for Implementation and  
22 Enforcement of the Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Program." Compliance with the writ may  
23 include, but is not limited to, terminating the Renewed Waiver and replacing it with waste discharge  
24 requirement order(s) that regulate discharges to surface water and groundwater.

25           2.       The writ shall further command that all such actions to comply shall be completed by  
26 January 14, 2015. The parties may extend this date by written agreement. Absent such agreement, the  
27 court may extend this deadline based on a demonstration that additional time is reasonably necessary.  
28

1 Respondent shall meet and confer with all parties before requesting the Court to extend the date.  
2 Within 30 days after full compliance with the writ, respondent shall file a return to the writ setting  
3 forth what respondent has done to comply. In addition, the writ shall further command that respondent  
4 shall make and file progress reports to this court every six months, setting forth what respondent has  
5 done to date to comply.


6 3. In compliance with the Court's Final Ruling, respondent need not set aside its approval  
7 of the Renewed Waiver pending compliance with the writ. The Renewed Waiver shall remain in full  
8 effect until respondent has complied with the writ or until January 14, 2015, whichever is earlier. The  
9 court may extend this date if the full compliance date is extended pursuant to paragraph 2.

10 4. The Petition filed by the San Joaquin County Resource Conservation District, et al., is  
11 denied.

12 5. Petitioners California Sportfishing Protection Alliance and California Water Utility  
13 Network shall recover costs in this proceeding, in an amount to be determined by petitioners' filing of  
14 a memorandum of costs within 15 days after the date of mailing of the notice of entry of this judgment.

15 6. The court reserves jurisdiction over petitioners California Sportfishing Protection  
16 Alliance's and California Water Utility Network's recovery of reasonable attorney fees and costs to be  
17 determined on noticed motion to be submitted to the court within the timeline set forth by the  
18 California Rules of Court, Rule 3.1702(b)(1).

19 Date: June 14, 2013

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Judge of the Superior Court

21 Judgment entered on \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
Clerk

By \_\_\_\_\_  
Deputy Clerk

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Submitted by:



Michael R. Lozeau, Lozeau Druby LLP  
Attorneys for Petitioners California Sportfishing Protection Alliance  
and California Water Impact Network